

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,786	01/30/2001	Morito Morishima	51270-245670	1550
7590 02/08/2005			EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO LLP			HUBER, PAUL W	
Suite 1200 725 South Figueroa			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5443			2653	
			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/772,786	MORISHIMA ET	MORISHIMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul Huber	2653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMU	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however n. a reply within the statutory minimule riod will apply and will expire SIX statute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered tim (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/	ndrawn from considerati					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	- · · · · ·	` '			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	nents have been receive nents have been receive priority documents have	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa	al Stage			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copi	es not received.				
Attachment							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI No(s)/Mail Date	Pa	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/772,786 Page 2

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3, drawn to a square-wave modifying device, classified in class 369, subclass 59.1.
- II. Claims 4-8, drawn to a light emission control device, classified in class 369, subclass 53.26.
- III. Claim 9, drawn to a current supply device, classified in class 369, subclass 116.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as being utilized in a device which does not require a waveform modification section as specifically required by invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as being utilized in a device which does not require a waveform modification section as specifically required by invention I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as being utilized in a device which does not require a switch section for feeding the current to the light-emitting element or to the dummy load while switching between the light-emitting element and the dummy load in a complementary fashion as required by invention III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to at least the following two patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: figure 1 and figure 2, corresponding to species I and species II, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 are deemed generic.

Art Unit: 2653

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was not made to the applicants' representative to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the nature of the application, (e.g., foreign applicants, relative complexity of restriction requirement), and the need for the examiner to promptly act on the application.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Huber whose telephone number is 703-308-1549.

Paul Huber

Primary Examiner